

SENATOR WARNER: The other parts obviously could be done by rule, except this establishes a contingency fund with approval of the Department of Administrative Services, that we could not...obviously could not do by...by rule. But there's a number of things you couldn't do by rule that's included in here.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah, I see. Is it...would it be good public policy, in your opinion, to expand this methodology that you're describing here to expenditures other than increases in expenditures relating to the prison system? If it's good for the prison system, shouldn't it be broadly applicable?

SENATOR WARNER: I'd go one step further than that, Senator. I...I...I would be very willing to support legislation which I have introduced,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...which allows you, through the appropriation bill, to suspend any act because it was not funded, whether it's entitlement or anything else. I have...at least a couple of states have done that. That's going to a much broader scope than what this, this is restricted to corrections. I think corrections is a very proper place to start, because there is a tendency, I think, to be politically popular, at least, to increase crimes or the penalty for crimes, and there is very little popularity in providing funding to house those additional people that are incarcerated. That's why I would pick out corrections particularly.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, your more radical thoughts cause me greater uneasiness, so perhaps I should just leave it at this. Thank you.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, the last time my more radical thought got...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WARNER: ...out here on General File, it created a lot of uneasiness, that's true, but it was really good legislation.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Does anyone else wish to address this bill? Seeing none, do you have closing, Senator Warner? Closing is waived. We'll now vote on the